

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. DOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,997	06/26/2001	Tsukasa Yagi	15162/03790	9619
24367	7590 07/28/2003	•		
	ISTIN BROWN & WO	EXAMINER		
717 NORTH I SUITE 3400 DALLAS, TX			NGUYEN, HOAN C	
DALLAS, IA	73201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			XX			
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	<u> </u>			
•	09/891,997	YAGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HOAN C. NGUYE					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sl	neet with the correspondence an	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however by within the statutory minimul will expire SIX te. cause the application to be	, may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered timel (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iy. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-fina	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considerati	on.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiremen	t.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin		to the Francisco				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	zxammor.					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 l	ISC 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	gn phonty under 55 t	7.0.0, 8 113(a)-(d) or (i).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nta haya haan racaiy	od				
 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			al Stane			
application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	· Otago			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N lotice of Informal Patent Application (P other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/891,997

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains embodiments directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- A. The species of First embodiment of a reflective –type full color liquid crystal display with a simple matrix driving method (figure 2).
- B. The species of Second embodiment a reflective –type full color liquid crystal display with a font storage section and data judging circuit connecting to the image processing (figure 13).
- C. The species of Third embodiment with three color filters having same pixel structure (figure 23).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and <u>a listing of all claims</u> and any drawings readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,997

Art Unit: 2871

Page 3

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 306-0472.

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

chn March 4, 2003

ENLINE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE